

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/827,095	04/19/2004	Ben Huang	WINN.020C1	1470	
20995	7590 05/10/2005		EXAM	INER	
KNOBBE M 2040 MAIN S	IARTENS OLSON &	BEAR LLP	BLAU, STEPHEN LUTHER		
FOURTEENT			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
IRVINE, CA	92614		3711		

DATE MAILED: 05/10/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			(i)
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/827,095	HUANG, BEN	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
•			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	Stephen L. Blau	h the correspondence address	
Period for Reply	,		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTER, cause the application to become AB	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 A	<u> August 2004</u> .		
	s action is non-final.		.
3) Since this application is in condition for allowed	ance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1 and 10-16 is/are pending in the ap	plication.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 10-16</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	cepted or b) ☐ objected to b	y the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyand	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	•	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority documen			
2. Conjugate the position conjugate the priority documen	-		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	•	eceived in this National Stage	
application from the International Burea	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	anaiyad	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	cor ure cerunea copies not r	eceivea.	

8) Claim(s) **Application Paper** 9) The spec 10) The draw **Applicant** Replacem 11) The oath **Priority under 35** 12) Acknowle a) All b 1. ☐ Ce 2. ☐ Ce 3. □ Cc * See the at Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. __ 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/23/04. 6) Other: _ U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office Action Summary Part of Paper No./Mail Date 05042005

Art Unit: 3711

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1, and 10-16 rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-9 of U.S. Patent No. 6,733,401 in view of Smith.

Claims 1-9 of U.S. Patent No. 6,733,401 contains the element of structure of an adhesive on the underside of a felt layer. Smith discloses adding adhesive directly to the handle (Page 1 Lns. 105-108). In view of the patent of Smith it would have been obvious to modify the claims 1-9 of U.S. Patent No. 6,733,401 by not having an adhesive underside of a felt in order to place the adhesive directly to a handle.

Application/Control Number: 10/827,095

Art Unit: 3711

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 10 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Huang (5,797,813) in view of Huang (6,386,989).

Huang (5,797,813) discloses a heat formed recessed (42) reinforced surface formed on a first side edge of a polyurethane layer, a heat compressed densified area (44) formed on a second side edge of a polyurethane layer and a strip adapted to be spirally wrapped (Fig. 18) about a sleeve with a second side edge of a strip overlying the first side edge of a strip (Fig. 20) to from a relatively smooth surface in the form of the surface between the juncture (Fig. 18).

Huang (5,797,813) lacks an underlisting sleeve having a cap and a nipple.

Huang (6,386,989) discloses an underlisting sleeve having a cap (22) and a nipple (Fig. 8) in order to avoid the disadvantages of tape and ferrules (Col. 1, Lns. 26-36). In view of the patent of Huang (6,386,989) it would have been obvious to modify the patent of Huang (5,797,813) to have an underlisting sleeve having a cap (22) and a nipple (Fig. 8) in order to avoid the disadvantages of tape and ferrules.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/827,095 Page 4

Art Unit: 3711

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 11 and 13 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the double patenting rejection(s) set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. None of the prior art discloses or renders as obvious overlying edges forming a seam not exceeding .5 mm in width in addition to the other elements of structure claimed.

6. Claims 1, and 14-16 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the double patenting rejection(s) set forth in this Office action. With respect to claims 1, none of the prior art discloses or renders as obvious a relatively smooth junction between first and second side edges in addition to the other elements of structure claimed. With respect to claims 14-16, none of the prior art discloses or renders as obvious a method having a step of applying heat through the outside surface of the felt layer to the polyurethane layer at a second side edge of the strip to form a compressed densified area in addition to the other elements of structure claimed.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Art Unit: 3711

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steve Blau whose telephone number is (571) 272-4406. The examiner is available Monday through Friday from 8 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.. If the examiner is unavailable you can contact his supervisor Greg Vidovich whose telephone number is (571) 272-4415. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858. (TC 3700 Official Fax 703-872-9306)

slb/ 5 May 2005

STEPHEN BLAU PRIMARY EXAMINER